Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/836,158	LEFEVRE, CHRISTOPHE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

(1) *Cephia D. Toomer*.

(2) *Maria Tungol*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *9 July 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
17 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ms. Tungol indicated that the examiner could amend claim 17 to correct a typographical error and could delete claim 29, which does not further limit claim 23.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)